UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,386	07/26/2007	Rainer Pommersheim	1374-5 PCT/US	6989	
33769 7590 04/10/2009 BODNER & O'ROURKE, LLP				EXAMINER	
425 BROADHO	DLLOW ROAD, SUIT	JONAITIS, JUSTIN M			
MELVILLE, N	MELVILLE, NY 11747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/590,386	POMMERSHEIM, RAINER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JUSTIN JONAITIS	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
·—					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dicocca in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gadyle, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	.0 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically applicant references figures instead of structural limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent #3,558,051 to Strickler et al.

Art Unit: 3752

In re claim 1, Strickler et al. discloses a device for transforming liquids into droplets with interchangeable nozzles (nozzle (48) able to be interchangeable using threaded collar (54))

characterized in that:

The material to be transformed into droplets is pressed by compressed air (Figure 3) from at least one container (supply sources (30) & (32)) through at least one capillary in the interior of a nozzle (path through nozzle (48)) and the droplet separation is affected by an air flow passed concentrically to the capillary in the nozzle (Air Inlet (90)).

In re claim 2, Strickler et al. discloses the invention as described above including the following main components, a nozzle (nozzle (48)) and a reservoir (supply sources (30) & (32)).

In re claims 3-7, as best understood by the examiner, Strickler et al. discloses the invention as described above including the components are connected using pipes (as seen in the figures).

In re claim 8, as best understood by the examiner, Strickler et al. discloses the invention as described above including a meter tube (all tubes are metered using Valves (120) or (122)) and the components are connected to each other using pipes as seen in the figures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3752

7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent #3,558,051 to Strickler et al.

In re claims 9-11, Strickler et al. discloses the invention as described above but fails to disclose the specifics of the droplets. However, the limitations of claims 9-11 do not provide a structural limitation, therefore Strickler et al. discloses an apparatus as claimed previously that is capable of producing the droplets as claimed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent #RE38,281 to Tisone discloses a dispensing apparatus with similar structure. U.S. PG-Pub 2005/0056713 to Tisone et al. discloses a dispensing apparatus with similar structure. U.S. PG-Pub 2002/0113144 to Huang et al. discloses a nebulizer with similar structure. U.S. Patent #5,114,752 to Hall discloses a dispenser with similar structures. U.S. Patent #4,987,854 to Hall discloses a dispenser having similar structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUSTIN JONAITIS whose telephone number is (571)270-5150. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thurs 6:30am - 5:00 pm EST.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JUSTIN JONAITIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/Len Tran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752